Experimental study with different cathode and anode humidification temperatures in PEM fuel cell

Babua Ram Sahu¹, Mahesh Kumar Yadav², Dr. Bhupendra Gupta³, Prof. Amit Dutta⁴

^{1&2} Students, Master of Engineering, (Heat power), Jabalpur Engineering College, Jabalpur, India ³Assistant Professor, Jabalpur Engineering College, Jabalpur ⁴Assistant Professor, GRKIST, Jabalpur

ABSTRACT: The paper gives experimental study and analysis of PEM Fuel cell with different humidification temperature. The paper focuses on the effect on the voltage and power obtained from fuel cell under variable humidification temperatures. An experimental setup of PEM fuel cell has been developed for this study.

The effects of different operating parameters on the performance of proton exchange membrane (PEM) fuel cell have been studied experimentally using pure hydrogen on the anode side and air on the cathode side. Experimental analysis has been done with different cathode and anode humidification temperatures. The experimental results are presented in the form of polarization curves, which show the effects of the various operating parameters on the performance of the PEM fuel cell. The possible mechanisms of the parameter effects and their interrelationships are discussed.

KEYWORDS: PEM fuel cell, Anode material, Cathode Material, Pressure Range, humidification Temperature Range.

INTRODUCTION I.

Proton exchange membrane (PEM) fuel cells have been widely recognized as the most promising candidates for future power generating devices in the automotive, distributed power generation and portable electronic applications. The proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) is of great interest in energy research field because of its potential application for conversion of chemical energy into electrical energy with high efficiency, high power density, low pollution and low operating temperature.

II. **Principle of PEM Fuel Cell**

The working of PEM Fuel cell is shown in the Figure 2.1. Hydrogen is fed to the anode side, while oxygen is fed to the cathode side. The fuel, in this case hydrogen, is oxidized at the anode to produce positively charged protons and negatively charged electrons. The protons can pass through the hydrated PEM to the cathode as hydronium ions, while the electrons travel along an external circuit, also to the cathode. In this way, electro-neutrality (charge balance) is maintained. The protons and oxygen molecules are combined with the electrons at the cathode. Oxygen is reduced by the electrons and combined with the protons to produce pure water and heat. Again, the process can be simplified into separation (anode) and recombination (cathode) of charges, and the associated increase (energy source) or decrease (energy sink) in the system's electrical energy.





(MEA)

III (A). Experimental Setup

Experimental setup are the combination of two major plates such as, anode plates and cathode plates, which has been well design and construction with as per specification. A single unit cell with active surface aria of 7.2 x 7.2 c.m. was used for experiment in this study. The membrane electrode assembly (MEA) consists of a Nafion in combination with platinum loadings of 0.4 mg/cm² per electrode. The gas diffusion layers are made of carbon fiber cloth. The MEA positioned between two graphite plates is pressed between two gold-plated copper plates. The graphite plates are grooved with serpentine gas channels. In the test station, reactant gases are humidified by passing through external water tanks. Regulating the water temperature controls the humidification of the reactant gases.

www.iimer.com

Vol. 3, Issue. 4, Jul. - Aug. 2013 pp-2360-2363 III (B). Experimental procedure

ISSN: 2249-6645

The procedure for each experiment is as follows:

1. Power on the Fuel Cell Test Station and open the valves of the gas cylinders of hydrogen, oxygen.

- 2. Before starting experiments, purge the anode side with hydrogen to ensure no oxygen is present.
- 3. Set the experimental parameters of mass flow rate of the gas cylinders of hydrogen, oxygen.

4. Set the maximum voltage, minimum voltage and voltage increment step of the fuel cell polarization data by Ammeter and Voltmeter.

5. Set the delay between every two voltage and current data points.



Figure 3.1: Ammeter and Voltmeter



Figure 3.3: Pressure Gauge



Figure 3.2: Hose Pipe Zone



Figure 3.4: Flow Meter Arrangement



Figure 3.5: Experimental setup of PEM Fuel cell

IV. Result and discussion

4.1 Effect of the humidification in plates

When the anode humidification temperature is at 40 °C, the current density of the fuel cell is the lowest at a given voltage. graph shows that the linear portion of the polarization curve for different anode humidification temperatures are almost parallel to each other, which indicates that the electrical resistance of the fuel cell causing the ohmic losses does not vary significantly.

At low current densities, lower the anode humidification temperature, the lower the cell voltage. This phenomenon could be explained by the decrease of the active catalyst surface area caused by lack of water in the catalyst layers. When the anode is dry, the water transfer through the membrane from the cathode side to the anode side due to back-diffusion is dominant.

This is even more pronounced at low current densities, when water transfer due to electro-osmosis is low. The result of the combined effect is water delciency in the cathode catalyst layer. At higher current densities, the cell voltages at different anode humidification temperatures come closer. This, again, could be explained by hydration of the catalyst layer. At high current densities, water generation rate is high and water transfer due to electro-osmosis is high. Thus the cathode catalyst layer is better hydrated even though the anode humidification is low.

 Table 1: Data for different humidification temperatures, backpressures are 4 atm on both the anode and cathode sides and hydrogen flow rates are 1.0 ml/s and Oxygen flow rates 2.0 ml/s.

Sr. No.	Current density (A/cm ²)	Voltage at humidification temperature 40°C	Voltage at humidification temperature 60°C	Voltage at humidification temperature 80°C	
1	0.2	0.91	0.92	0.93	
2	0.4	0.78	0.80	0.83	
3	0.6	0.74	0.75	0.77	
4	0.8	0.71	0.72	0.73	
5	1.0	0.69	0.70	0.71	
6	1.2	0.61	0.64	0.68	

International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER)

www.ijmer.com Vol. 3, Issue. 4, Jul. - Aug. 2013 pp-2360-2363 ISSN: 2249-6645 **Table 2**: Data for different humidification temperatures, backpressures are 4 atm on both the anode and cathode sides and hydrogen flow rates are 2.0 ml/s and Oxygen flow rates 4.0 ml/s.

Sr. No.	Current density (A/cm ²)	Voltage at humidification temperature 40 ⁰ C	Voltage at humidification temperature 60 ⁰ C	Voltage at humidification temperature 80°C	
1	0.2	1.02	1.02 1.04		
2	0.4 0.96		0.97	0.98	
3	0.6	0.94	0.95	0.96	
4	0.8	0.92	0.93	0.94	
5	1.0	0.90	0.91	0.92	
6	1.2	0.78	0.801	0.821	

 Table 3: Data for different humidification temperatures, backpressures are 4 atm on both the anode and cathode sides and hydrogen flow rates are 3.0 ml/s and Oxygen flow rates 6.0 ml/s

,, g,								
Sr.	Current	Voltage at	Voltage at	Voltage at				
No.	density	humidification	humidification	humidification				
	(A/cm^2)	temperature	temperature	temperature				
		$40^{\circ}C$	$60^{\circ}C$	80^{0} C				
1	0.2	1.09	1.11	1.12				
2	0.4	0.99	1.08	1.09				
3	0.6	1.02	1.04	1.05				
4	0.8	0.96	0.97	0.98				
5	1.0	0.94	0.95	0.96				
6	1.2	0.92	0.93	0.94				



Fig. 4.1. Different mass flow rates curves for cell humidification temperature vs. cell voltage at 0.2 current density



Fig. 4.1. Different mass flow rates curves for cell humidification temperature vs. cell voltage at 0.6 A/cm^2 current density







Fig. 4.2. Different mass flow rates curves for cell humidification temperature vs. cell voltage at 0.4 A/cm^2 current density



Fig. 4.2. Different mass flow rates curves for cell humidification temperature vs. cell voltage at 0.8 A/cm^2 current density



Fig. 4.2. Different mass flow rates curves for cell humidification temperature vs. cell voltage at 1.2 A/cm² current density



International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER)



Figure 4.7: Humidification temperatures with hydrogen flow rates are 2.0 ml/s and Oxygen flow rates 4.0 ml/s.



Figure 4.8: Humidification temperatures with hydrogen flow rates are 3.0 ml/s and Oxygen flow rates 6.0 ml/s.

V. CALCULATIONS

Table 5.1 presents the Improvement in Voltage of variable Mass Flow Rate and Current Density of Fuel Cell Table 5.1: Improvement in Voltage of variable Mass Flow Rate and Current Density of Fuel Cell

Current	Humidificatio	hydrogen	Oxygen flow	Voltage	hydrogen	Oxygen flow	Voltage	Increase
density	n	flow rates	rates	(caseI)	flow rates	rates	(case II)	in
(A/cm^2)	Temperature	(case I)	(case I)	(V)	(case II)	(case II)	(V)	voltage
	(^{0}C)	(ml/s)	(ml/s)		(ml/s)	(ml/s)		(%)
0.2	80	1.0	2.0	0.93	3.0	6.0	1.12	20.43%
0.4	80	1.0	2.0	0.83	3.0	6.0	1.09	31.32%
0.6	80	1.0	2.0	0.77	3.0	6.0	1.05	36.36%
0.8	80	1.0	2.0	0.73	3.0	6.0	0.98	34.24%
1.0	80	1.0	2.0	0.71	3.0	6.0	0.96	35.21%
1.2	80	1.0	2.0	0.68	3.0	6.0	0.94	38.23%

VI. CONCLUSION

In this work, humidification temperatures and pressures have been performed. Voltage is increase 38.23% by increasing the different humidification temperatures and mass flow rates. When enough humidification is provided, the performance of the PEM fuel cell improves with the increase of operation temperature.

- 1. During the process we found that \hat{V} oltage is increased 38.23%, when the fuel cell is 80° C and at fixed Current density of 1.2 A/cm².
- 2. During the process we found that Voltage is increased 35.21%, when the fuel cell is 80°C and at fixed Current density of 1.0 A/cm².
- 3. During the process we found that Voltage is increased 34.24%, when the fuel cell is 80° C and at fixed Current density of 0.8 A/cm².
- 4. During the process we found that Voltage is increased 32.36%, when the fuel cell is 80° C and at fixed Current density of 0.6 A/cm².
- 5. During the process we found that Voltage is increased 31.32%, when the fuel cell is 80° C and at fixed Current density of 0.4 A/cm².

REFERENCES

- A.B. La Conti, M. Hamdan, R.C. McDonald, W. Vielstich, H.A. Gasteiger, A. Lamm. Handbook of Fuel Cells: Fundamentals Technology and Applications, vol. 3, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., 2003, pp. 647–662.
- [2]. A Fischer, J. Jindra, H. Wendt, Porosity and catalyst utilization of thin layer cathodes in air operated PEM-fuel cells, J. Appl. Electrochem. 28 (1998) 277–282.
- [3]. E.A. Ticianelli, C.R. Derouin, A. Redondo, S. Srinivasan, Methodsto advance technology of proton exchange membrane fuel cells, J.Electrochem. Soc. 135 (1988) 2209–2214
- [4]. Jinfeng Wua, Xiao Zi Yuan, Jonathan J. Martin "A review of PEM fuel cell durability: Degradation mechanisms and mitigation strategies". Journal of Power Sources 184 (2008) 104–119
- [5]. Lin Wang, Attila Husar, Tianhong Zhou, Hongtan Liu "A parametric study of PEM fuel cell performances". International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 28 (2003) 1263 – 1272
- [6]. Pan Zhao, Jiangfeng Wang, Lin Gao, Yiping Dai "Parametric analysis of a hybrid power system using organic Rankine cycle to recover waste heat from proton exchange membrane fuel cell". International Journal of hydrogen energy 37 (2012) 3382-3391.
- [7]. S. Litster, G. McLean "PEM fuel cell electrodes" Journal of Power Sources 130 (2004) 61–76
- [8]. V.A. Paganin, E.A. Ticianelli, E.R. Gonzalez, Development and electrochemical studies of gas diffusion electrodes for polymer electrolyte fuel cells, J. Appl. Electrochem. 26 (1996) 297–304.
- [9]. Xiao-guang Lia, Liu-lin Caoa, Zhi-xiang Liub, Cheng Wangb "Development of a fast empirical design model for PEM stacks" International Journal of hydrogen energy 35 (2010) 2698 – 2702.
- [10]. X. Cheng, B. Yi, M. Han, J. Zhang, Y. Qiao, J. Yu, Investigation of platinum utilization and morphology in catalyst layer of polymer electrolyte fuel cells, J. Power Sources 79 (1999) 75–81.